E-Mail Request for Emergency Relief

I. Ca	ase Number:	04 -cv-1199 -SLR		
2. CI	. Check the box that applies:			
	Requesting an in-person	nce with the parties and the court conference with the parties and the above listed options at the court's c		
3. BI	BRIEFLY describe the reason for this emergency request:			
b fac o w b S th	ras not raised during the I ifurcated trial and expert of act discovery, which had to commercial success theory verlap with any other issu- vaiver of attorney-client pro- ifurcation ruling, there is a RI has been claiming that he current fact discovery. Yould want to open fact dis- coordingly, Defendants s ifurcated.	discovery on March 14 teleconference, during whatch 14 teleconference, during whatch 14 and overlaps we begun by March 14 and overlaps were willfulness fact discovery has not les, raises sensitive issues concernivilege, and may be completely unino reason to embark on willfulness to requires an extension in order to lt was SRI that wanted bifurcation scovery on willfulness now is to prefer to a ruling that willfulness fact dis	nich the Court ges. Unlike damages ith Plaintiff's t yet started, does not ning the scope of any necessary. Given the discovery now. Indeed to be able to complete . The only reason SRI ejudice Defendants. covery will be	
*Any text added beyond the limits of this space will be disregarded by the court.				
1. N	ame of opposing counsel	contacted about this request: How	ard Pollack	
5. R	esponse of opposing cou	nsel to this request:	The state of the s	
be		propriate for the defendants to male s discovery. If the defendants elec- y may be limited.		
5. N	ame of local counsel mak	ing this request: David E. Moore, F	Richard K. Herrmann	
7. To	oday's Date: March 20, 20	006		

For c	ourt use only: A teleconference will be initiated by	e held on	to be coordinated and	
	An in-person discovery	conference will be held on:		
Ø	Other: Willfulness	discovery is stayed.		

Opposing Counsel's Response to E-Mail Request for Emergency Relief

1. Case Number: 04:-cv- 1199 -SLR

2. BRIEFLY state your response to the **emergency** request made by opposing counsel: SRI believes the Court's ruling was clear and this matter is settled: willfulness is to be treated in the same way as damages, so willfulness fact discovery should be completed by the present deadline. If defendants believed different treatment for willfulness were warranted, they should have raised it at the March 14 hearing -- not by emergency email after their time for complying with a Court-ordered deadline has passed. Only weeks removed from pressing aggressively for all issues to go to trial as scheduled in October, defendants have now changed position for strategic rather than substantive reasons. Willfulness discovery does overlap liability to at least some degree, particularly if any of the bases for defendants' opinions (if any) contradicts positions defendants now wish to take in defending liability.

3. Name of local counsel submitting this response: John F. Horvath

4. Today's Date: March 21, 2006

^{*}Any text added to beyond the limits of this space will be disregarded by the court.